Evaluation Report

(As per Rule 35 of AJK-Public Procurement Rules, 2017)

1. Name of Procuring Agency

AJK Environmental Protection Agency

2. Method of Procurement

⇒ Single Stage—Two Envelope bidding procedure AJK PPRA Rules 2017

⇒ 'Quality & Cost Based Selection Procedure' as prescribed under Azad Jammu & Kashmir Public Procurement Regulatory Authority Consultancy Regulations, 2018.

3. Title of Procurement

Hiring of Consultancy Services for EPA's Development Scheme titled, "Assessment of Environmental Impacts of Sand & Mineral Mining in Rivers and Streams of AJ&K and Way-forward"

4. Tender Inquiry

Tender Notice 3624 December 08, 2021

5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE)

Tender Notice 3624 December 08, 2021

6. Date & Time of Bid Closing

31-12-2021 at 02:00 P.M.

7. Date & Time of Bid Opening

31-12-2021 at 02:30 P.M.

8. No. of Bids Received

06

9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation

Technical & Financial Responsiveness

10. Details of Bid (S) Evaluation

AJK Environmental Protection Agency

Name of Bidder	Mar	ks	Evaluated	Rule / Regulation / SBD / Policy /		
	Technical (If applicable)	Financial (If applicable)	Cost	Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of AJ&K PPRA Rules,2017		
M/s Micon Engineering Consultants	Qualified	Not Qualified	Rs. 10.00 million	Not Qualified ⇒ No bid security attached		
M/s NESPAK	Rejected	Rejected	Not Evaluated	Rejected ⇒ The Technical proposal of M/S NESPAK has been rejected by CSC due to submission of Bid (as loose documents/ unsealed) without observing the requirements of Single Stage -two envelope bidding procedure. ⇒ The RFPs of M/S NESPAK after declared rejected by CSC has been returned ir shape & form as it was received in EPA vide this office letter No. EPA/125-128/2022 Dated 01.02.2022 "Annex-A"		
M/s EMC Pakistan (Pvt). Ltd.	Qualified	Qualified	Rs. 4.520 million	1st Evaluated Bidder ⇒ Obtained 89.7 marks out of 100 as per the weightag given to the Technical (T and Financial (P) Proposal are: T = 0.70 and P = 0.30 of approved RFP ⇒ Submitted lowest rate. ⇒ Submitted bid security Respond of the bid.		
M/s Project Procurement International (PPI) in association with M/s Geo-Bands Works Management	Not Qualified	Rejected/Not Qualified	Not Evaluated	Not Qualified The M/s PPI in association with M/s Geo-Bands Work Management, has obtaine 57.475 marks, hence failed to obtain 65 points and considered technically North		

1

Canal

				responsive/Disqualified. The Financial proposal of the firm has been returned Unopened as per decision of CSC vide this office letter No.EPA/121-24/2022 dated February 1, 2022 "Annex-B"
M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant	Qualified	Qualified	Rs. 10.936885	2 nd Evaluated Bidder ⇒ Obtained 67.55 marks out of 100 as per the weightage given to the Technical (T) and Financial (P) Proposals are: T = 0.70 and P = 0.30 of approved RFP ⇒ Submitted 2 nd lowest rate. ⇒ Submitted bid security Rs. 220000 PKR total price of the bid.
M/s Designmen Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd in association with M/s Nasir Absar (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	Qualified	Rs.11.320 Million	3 rd Evaluated Bidder ⇒ Obtained 62.34 marks out of 100 as per the weightage given to the Technical (T) and Financial (P) Proposals are: T = 0.70 and P = 0.30 of approved RFP ⇒ Submitted 3 rd lowest rate. ⇒ Submitted bid security Rs. 226400 PKR total price of the bid

Lowest evaluated Bidder:

Summary of Evaluation of Bidders/Proposals (Technical & Financial)

- Out of 06 prospective consultancy firms, 04 Firms i.e. M/s Micon Engineering Consultant, M/s EMC Pakistan (Pvt). Ltd, M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant and M/s Designmen Consulting Engineers in association with M/s Nasir Absar (Pvt). Ltd. / have been found 'Technically Responsive' (Qualified). The Technical Evaluation Report duly signed by all CSC members is attached as Annex-C.
- ii. The M/s EMC Pakistan (Pvt). Ltd obtained 89.7 marks out of total 100 marks of both Technical & Financial Evaluation hence, declared as the 1st Responsive/Qualified Bidder, i.e. with highest marks in technical evaluation and lowest value of financial proposal. The Financial Evaluation Report duly signed by CSC members is attached as Annex-D.
- iii. The *M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant* has obtained 67.755 out of total 100 marks of both Technical & Financial Evaluation hence, declared 2nd Responsive/Qualified Bidder.
- iv. The M/s Designmen Consulting Engineers in association with M/s Nasir Absar (Pvt). Ltd obtained 62.34 points out of total 100 marks of both Technical & Financial Evaluation hence, declared 3rd Responsive/Qualified Bidder.
- v. The financial proposal of *M/s Micon Engineering Consultants* was filed without 2% Bid Security hence, not considered for financial evaluation and declared financially "Non-Responsive" by CSC.
- vi. The M/s Project Procurement International (PPI) in association with M/s Geo-Bands Works Management, has obtained 57.475 marks, hence considered Technically Non-responsive/Disqualified.
- vii. The Technical proposal of *M/S NESPAK* has been rejected by CSC due to submission of Bid (as loose documents/ unsealed) without following/observing the requirements of Single Stage

 Two Envelope Bidding Procedure in true spirit.



2

MIND

11. Any other additional/ supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.

Director EPA/Chairman

Consultant Selection Committee

Deputy Director, Mineral Resources / Member

2. Consultant Selection Committee

Deputy Director, Wilde Life Fisheries / Member

Consultant Selection Committee

Deputy Director EPA/Member

Consultant Selection Committee

Mr. Syed Ahmed Hassan, Geologist, Rate Analysis

5. Section P&DD/ Member/ Consultant Selection

Committee

Mr. Nasir Hameed, Cartographer, Land Use Planning

6. /Member Consultant Selection Committee

Assistant Director Labs/Procurement EPA

7. Member/Secretary Consultant Selection Committee

Share Share

Issuing Authority

Director General AJK-EPA

Technical Evaluation

AJKPPRA Notice No. 3624 dated 08-12-2021 and National Dailies (combined Edition): the News Islamabad, Nawa-E-Waqat Rawalpindi, Kashmir Links Muzaffarabad, and Kashmir Times Rawalpindi dated 10-12-2021

Bid Closing: 31-12-2021 at 2:00 P.M.

"Technical Proposal Opening" for "Assessment of Environmental Impacts of Sand and Mineral Mining in River and Streams of AJ&K and way forward'. Technical Evaluation done by the Consultant Selection Committee (CSC) constituted vide order: P&DD/13379-84/2021 dated December 06, 2021.

					Ve	ndors/Firms		Y 1
(Qualifying Criteria as mentioned in approved RFP	Qualifying Marks	M/s Micon Engineering Consultants	M/s NESPAK	M/s EMC Pakistan (Pvt). Ltd.	M/s PPI / M/sGeo- Bands Works Management	M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant	M/s Designmen Consulting Engineers/ M/s Nasir Absar (Pvt). Ltd./
(i)	Company Profile	Points [45]						
a)	Nos. of similar Projects completed during last 10 years (minimum 01)	[10]	No. of projects=12 Page No.118- 129 ⇒ No of similar nature projects =01 (Page No.120)	The proposal of M/S NESPAK technical proposal has been rejected by CSC due to	10 ⇒ No. of projects=626 (32 Studies +236 EIAs +288 IEEs + 60 Audits+ 10 ESA (Page No.197-417) ⇒ No of similar nature projects =12 (Page No. 6-20)	00 ⇒ No. of projects=30 (Page No.11-45) ⇒ No of similar nature projects =0	00 ⇒ No. of projects=20 (Page No.38-149) ⇒ No of similar nature projects =N/A	/ 10 ⇒ No. of projects=10 (Page No.121-168) ⇒ No of similar nature projects =07 (Page No.121,126,128,131,14 3,160,162)
b)	Nos. of similar Ongoing Projects (minimum 01)	[10]	00 ⇒ No of Ongoing Projects =15 (Page No.131-145) ⇒ No of similar nature Projects =0	submission of Bid (as loose documents/ unsealed) without observing true spirit of Single Stage –two envelope	10 ⇒ No of Ongoing Projects=01 (Page No. 19-27)	Do No of Ongoing Projects (MS GEO BAND)=04 (Page No.48-54) ⇒ No of similar nature Projects =3 (Page No.48,50,52)	10 ⇒ No of Ongoing Projects =02 (Page No.152-155) ⇒ No of similar nature Projects =01 (Page No.52)	00 No of Ongoing Projects =N/A
c)	Existing of Firm with	[08]	08	 bidding procedure. 	08 ⇒ Karachi	08 Geo-Band	08 ⇒ Quetta,	08 ⇒ Lahore
	working office(s) in Pakistan or AJ&K		⇒ Karachi, Peshawar,		⇒ Karachi ⇒ Islamabad	⇒ Lahore,	⇒ AJ&K,	⇒ Islamabad,
	(minimum 05-years)		Quetta		⇒ Dubai	⇒ Gilgit,	⇒ Gilgit,	(PageNo.15)

1				Ve	ndors/Firms		
Qualifying Criteria as mentioned in approved RFP	Qualifying Marks	M/s Micon Engineering Consultants	M/s NESPAK	M/s EMC Pakistan (Pvt). Ltd.	M/s PPI / M/sGeo- Bands Works Management	M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant	M/s Designmen Consulting Engineers M/s Nasir Absar (Pvt) Ltd./
		⇒ AJ&K (PageNo.18)	4	(Page No. 04)	⇒ Canada PPI ⇒ Islamabad (Page No.3-4)	⇒ Islamabad (PageNo.18)	
d) Clientage	[08]	08 ⇒ Public Sector clients =08 ⇒ Private Sector clients=08 (PageNo.11-16)		08 ⇒ Public Sector clients =05 ⇒ Private Sector clients=175 (Page No.192-196)	08 ⇒ Public Sector clients =02 ⇒ Private Sector clients=35 (Page No.11-54)	08 ⇒ No. Of clients =24 (Page No.17)	08 ⇒ No. Of clients =40 (Page No.12,100) Public Sector clients =03 Private Sector clients=20 (Page No.12,113)
e) Organizational structure	[09]	7.875]	09	3.375	09	7.875
(i) Organogram / Quality Management System	[4.5]	3.375 ⇒ Organogram=1 .125 (Page No.8-9) ⇒ Quality Management System=2.25 (Page No.111-116)		4.5 ⇒ Organogram =2.25 ⇒ (page No.136-138) Quality Management=2.25 (Page No.05)	3.375 ⇒ Organogram=1.12 5 (Page No.10) ⇒ Quality Management System= 2.25	4.5 ⇒ Organogram 2.25 (Page No.12) ⇒ Quality Management System 2.25 (Page No. 14)	3.375 ⇒ Organogram 1.125 (Page No.11) ⇒ Quality Management System 2.25 (Page No.09)
(ii) Financial Capability (Annual Turnover of Rs. 05 million & above)	[4.5]	4.5 ⇒ Financial Turnover 88.1+71.4+88. 5=avg.82.6 Million (Page No. 46,93)		4.5 ⇒ Financial Turnover 40.897+28.457+35.21 3=avg.34.85 Million (Page No. 145-158)	00 ⇒ Financial Turnover =avg.2.270 Million (Page No. 127-129)	4.5 ⇒ Financial Turnover 572.383+453.511+ 0 =342 Million avg. (Page No. 261)	4.5 ⇒ Financial Turnover ⇒ 36.13+44.09+43.57 =41.4 Million avg. (Page No.79,121)
Total	(A1)	33.875		45	29.375	35	33.875
(ii) Project Key Team	Points [40]						
 a) Geologist ⇒ M.Sc. Geology ⇒ Minimum 07 years of experience in carrying out geological studies, investigations, 	[06] 30% (1.8) 60% (3.6) 10% (0.6)	a. Education= MS Geology=1.8 b. Relevant Experience=12		a. Education= PhD Geology=1.8 b. Relevant Experience=3.6 c. Time with firm=38	a. Education= M.Phil. Applied Geology=1.8 b. Relevant Experience=07yea	06 ⇒ Education= BS Geology=1.8 ⇒ Relevant Experience =3.6 ⇒ Time with firm=8	06 ⇒ Education= MS Engineering Geology=1.8 ⇒ Relevant Experience=10

(g)

for fine 2 M 3 2



	1		Vendors/Firms								
	ualifying Criteria as mentioned in approved RFP	Qualifying Marks	M/s Micon Engineering Consultants	M/s NESPAK	M/s EMC Pakistan (Pvt). Ltd.	M/s PPI / M/sGeo- Bands Works Management	M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant	M/s Designmen Consulting Engineers/ M/s Nasir Absar (Pvt). Ltd./			
⇒	sedimentology & geomorphological studies etc Minimum 01-Year with firm		years=3.6 c. Time with firm=12 years =0.6 (Page No.227-231)		years =0.60 (Page No. 63-69)	rs=3.6 c. Time with firm=12 years =0.60 (PageNo.90-93)	Years =0.60 (Page No. 217-221)	years=3.6 ⇒ Time with firm=01=0.60 years (PageNo.179-182)			
b)	Hydrologist/River Morphology Expert	[06] 30% (1.8) 60% (3.6) 10% (0.6)	5.1 a. Education= Civil Engineer=0.9 b. Relevant Experience=22 years=3.6 c. Time with firm=3 years=0.60 (Page No.232-242)		a. Education= ME Water Resource=1.8 b. Relevant Experience=42 years=3.6 c. Time with firm=2 years=0.60 (Page No. 60-62)	a. Education= MSC Geology=0.9 b. Relevant Experience=23yea rs=3.6 c. Time with firm=03years=0.6 (Page No.98-104)	a. Education=PhD Civil Engineer Hydrology=1.8 b. Relevant Experience=10year s=3.6 c. Time with firm=17years=0.60 (Page No. 250-255)	a. Education= MS water Resources & Engineering & Management=1.8 b. Relevant Experience=07year s=3.6 c. Time with firm=04years=0.60 (Page No.183-187)			
(c) ⇒ ⇒ ⇒	Expert	[06] 30% (1.8) 60% (3.6) 10% (0.6)	a. Education=BS C Zoology=00 b. Relevant Experience=4 years=00 c. Time with firm=4 years=0 d. (Page No.236- 257)		a. Education=PhD Marine Biology1.8 b. Relevant Experience=07 years=3.6 c. Time with firm=11 years=0.60 (Page No. 70-77)	a. Education=M.Sc. Forestry Extension Science=1.8 b. Relevant Experience=13 years=0 c. Time with firm=N/A=0 (Page No.105-107)	5.7 a. Education=PhD Zoology=1.8 b. Relevant Experience=3.6 c. Time with firm=part time=0.30 (Page No. 222-230) *Relevant experience is available but years are not mentioned)	a. Education=PhD Entomology=1.8 b. Relevant Experience=17 years=1.8 (only research projects) c. Time with firm=06 month=part time=0.30 (Page No.188-196)			
d)	Sediment Mining Expert/Mining Engineer M.Sc. in Sedimentology or	[08] 30% (2.4) 60% (4.8) 10% (0.8)	a. Education=MS C Geology=2.4 b. Relevant		a. Education=PhD geology=2.4 b. Relevant Experience=41years=4	a. Education=PhD geology=2.4 b. Relevant Experience=44yea	a. Education=MS Applied Geology=2.4 b. Relevant	a. Education=MSc Mining Engineering=2.4 b. Relevant			

Composition of the state of the





					Ve	endors/Firms		
	Jualifying Criteria as mentioned in approved RFP	Qualifying Marks	M/s Micon Engineering Consultants	M/s NESPAK	M/s EMC Pakistan (Pvt). Ltd.	M/s PPI / M/sGeo- Bands Works Management	M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant	M/s Designmen Consulting Engineers/ M/s Nasir Absar (Pvt). Ltd./
	Applied Geology Minimum 05 years of experience working in mining sector, dealing with the characterization of sediment and extraction techniques and methodology Minimum 01-Year with firm	5	Experience=49 years=4.8 c. Time with firm=7 Years=0.8 (Page No.243-248)		c. Time with firm=01 Years=0.8 (Page No. 78-81)	rs=4.8 c. Time with firm=07 Years=0.8 (PageNo.94-97)	Experience=02year =00 c. Time with firm=1 Year=0.8 (Page No. 231-235)	Experience=Nil =00 c. Time with firm=N/A=0 (PageNo.197-199)
	Environmentalist/Terr estrial Environment Expert M.Sc. in Terrestrial Biodiversity or Terrestrial Biologist Minimum 07 experience of working in fields of terrestrial biodiversity identification, characterization & conservation Minimum 01-Year with	[07] 30% (2.1) 60% (4.2) 10% (0.7)	a. Education=Ph D Genetics=2.1 b. Relevant Experience=Ni I=00 c. Time with firm=2 years=0.35(par t time) (PageNo.249-252)		a. Education=PhD Plant Diversity=2.1 b. Relevant Experience=Nil=00 c. Time with firm=10years=0.35(pa rt time) (Page No. 82-87)	a. Education=PhD Chemistry=0 b. Relevant Experience=23 years=0 c. Time with firm=06years=0 (Page No. 81-89)	a. Education=PhD Environmental Science=2.1 b. Relevant Experience=7.5 years=4.2 c. Time with firm=15years=0.7 (Page No. 236-240)	 4.2 a. Education=PhD Botany=2.1 b. Relevant Experience=45year s=2.1(only research projects) c. Time with firm=N/A=0 (Page 200-204)
f) ⇒	firm Social Expert M.Sc. in Social	[07] 30% (2.1)	2.45 a. Education=Ph		a. Education=MSC	a. Education=MS	a. Education=MSc	6.65 a. Education=MSc
	Sciences, Economics, Development Studies, or other relevant fields	60% (4.2) 10% (0.7)	D Sociology=2.1 b. Relevant		Sociology=2.1 b. Relevant Experience=Nil=00	Project Management=00 b. Relevant	Sociology=2.1 b. Relevant Experience=10year	Anthropology=2.1 b. Relevant Experience=25year
⇒	Minimum 10 years of experience in dealing with activities related to socio-economic assessment, social impact monitoring and		Experience=Ni l=0 c. Time with firm=4 years=0.35 (part time)		c. Time with firm=03 years=0.7 (Page No. 88-100)	Experience=16yea rs=0 c. Time with firm=N/A =0 (Page No.108-112)	s=4.2 c. Time with firm=04 years=0.7 (Page No. 241-249)	s=4.2 c. Time with firm=part time=0.35 (Page No. 205-210)





Composition of the composition o



Mark	1		Vendors/Firms							
assessment, and other socio-economic related surveys and research. ⇒ Minimum 01-Year with firm Total (A2) 24 31.25 19.1 34.9 29.15 The number of points to be assigned to each of the above positions or disciplines shall be determined considering the following three sub-criteria and relevant score: 1) Education & [30%]	mentioned in		Engineering	M/s NESPAK		Bands Works	Engineering	M/s Designmen Consulting Engineers/ M/s Nasir Absar (Pvt). Ltd./		
The number of points to be assigned to each of the above positions or disciplines shall be determined considering the following three sub-criteria and relevant score: 1) Education & [30%]	assessment, and other socio-economic related surveys and research. ⇒ Minimum 01-Year with firm									
assigned to each of the above positions or disciplines shall be determined considering the following three sub-criteria and relevant score: 1) Education & [30%] qualifications 2) Relevant background/Experience 3) Time with firm (min. 01-years) / Total Score: 100% (iii) Approach & [15] 1) Understanding & [10] 06 (Page No. 149-156) (Page No. 149-156) 2) Methodology: [15] 2) Methodology & Work Plan Total (A3) 09 0 09 09 09 9 109 09 109 109 109 109		(A2)	24		31.25	19.1	34.9	29.15		
Qualifications Qualifications Qualifications Qualifications Qualifications Qualifications Qualifications Qualifications Qualifications Qualified Qualifi	The number of points to be assigned to each of the above positions or disciplines shall be determined considering the following three sub-criteria and relevant score:	7								
Relevant background/Experience 100%		[30%]								
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2) Relevant	[60%]								
(iii) Approach & Points [15]		[10%]								
Methodology: [15]	Total Score:	100%								
Innovativeness	A second						1			
2) Methodology & Work Plan [05] (Page No. 157- 207) (Page No. 208- 210) (Page No. 211) (A3) 09 (Page No. 208- 210) (Page No. 259) (Page No. 211) (Page No. 259) (Page No. 259) (Page No. 211) (Page No. 259) (Page No. 259) (Page No. 211) (Page No. 259) (Page No. 259) (Page No. 211) (Page No. 259) (Page No. 259) (Page No. 211) (Page No. 259) (Page No. 259) (Page No. 211) (Page No. 259) (Page No. 259) (Page No. 269) (Page No.		[10]	The second secon	.74	06		The second secon	06		
Technical Score (St) = A 1 + A2 + 33.875+24+09= 45+31.25+09= 29.375+19.1+9= 35+34.9+9= 33.875+29.15+9= A3 66.875 85.25 57.475 78.9 72.025 ITC 15.4 Criteria for technical rating is 70% 46.8 59.7 40.2 55.2 50.4 Technically Qualified Technically Qualified Technically Qualified Technically Qualified		[05]	(Page No. 157- 207) (Page No. 208-			1.00				
A3 66.875 85.25 57.475 78.9 72.025 ITC 15.4 Criteria for technical rating is 70% Technically Qualified	Total	(A3)	09		09	09	09	9		
70% Technically Qualified Technically Qualif		+ A2 +		A				33.875+29.15+9= 72.025		
Quantieu		cal rating is	46.8			40.2				

(20.93m) (10.93m) (10.93m) (10.93m)

Reason Recorded by Consultant Selection Committee (CSC): A.

- In accordance to ITC 14, The Consultant Selection Committee shall evaluate the Technical Proposals based on their responsiveness to the i. Terms of Reference, applying the evaluation criteria, sub criteria, and point system specified in the Data Sheet. The minimum Technical Score (St) required to pass is 65 points. The firms having technical score below 65 points in their technical proposal evaluation shall be disqualified and shall not be considered for further evaluation. This criterion is further elaborated in ITC 15.4 i.e. 70% for technical proposal.
- ii. Out of 06 prospective firm's 04 firms i.e. M/s Micon Engineering Consultant, M/s EMC Pakistan (Pvt). Ltd, M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant and M/s Designmen Consulting Engineers/ M/s Nasir Absar (Pvt). Ltd./ has been qualified technically and obtained 66.875, 85.25, 78.9 and 72.025 respectively.
- iii. The M/s PPI / M/s Geo-Bands Works Management, has obtained 57.475 marks, hence failed to obtain 65 points and considered technically Non-responsive/Disqualified.

The Technical proposal of M/S NESPAK has been rejected by CSC due to submission of Bid (as loose documents/ unsealed) without iv. observing true spirit of Single Stage -two envelope bidding procedure.

Director EPA/Chairman

Deputy Director, Mineral Resources / Member Consultant Selection Committee

> Mr. Syed Ahmed Hassan, Geologist, Rate Analysis Section P&DD/ Member/ Consultant Selection Committee

Mr. Nasir Hameed, Cartographer, Land Use Planning /Member

Deputy Director, Wilde Life Fisheries

/Member

Consultant Selection Committee

Consultant Selection Committee

Assistant Director Labs/Procurement EPA Member/Secretary

Consultant Selection Committee

Consultant Selection Committee

Deputy Director EPA/Member Consultant Selection Committee

Final Evaluation

Hiring of Consultancy Service for the Study titled, "Assessment of Environmental Impacts of Sand and Mineral Mining in River and Streams of AJ&K and way forward"

A. Status of Consultancy

- ⇒ AJKPPRA Notice No. 3624 dated 08-12-2021 and National Dailies (combined Edition): the News Islamabad, Nawa-E-Waqat Rawalpindi, Kashmir Links Muzaffarabad, and Kashmir Times Rawalpindi dated 10-12-2021
- ⇒ Consultant Selection Committee (CSC) constituted vide order: P&DD/13379-84/2021 dated December 06, 2021.
- ⇒ "Technical Proposal Opening" was held on 31-12-2021 at 2:00 P.M.
- ⇒ "Financial Proposal Opening" was held on February 1st, 2022, at 2:00 P.M. dated December 06, 2021.

	Vendors/Firms								
Qualifying Criteria as mentioned in approved RFP	M/s Micon Engineering Consultants	M/s EMC Pakistan (Pvt). Ltd.	M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant	M/s Designmen Consulting Engineers/ M/s Nasir Absar (Pvt). Ltd./					
B. Technical Evaluation									
Technical Score (St) = A 1 + A2 + A3	33.875+24+09= 66.875	45+31.25+09= 85.25	35+34.9+9= 7 8.9	33.875+29.15+9= 72.025					
ITC 15.4 Criteria for technical rating is 70%	46.8 Technically Qualified	59.7 Technically Qualified	55.2 Technically Qualified	50.4 Technically Qualified					
C. Financial Evaluation									
Rate Quoted (Millions)	10.00	4.520	10.936885	11.320					
@2% bid Security	Not attached	90400.00	220000.0	226400					
ITC 15.4 Criteria for Financial rating is 30%	13.5	30	12.35	11.94					
Total: (70% Technical+30% Financial)	60.3	89.7	67.55	62.34					
Status	Non-Responsive Financially. The 2% bid Security not found with financial proposal	1 st Responsive/Qualified Bidder	2 nd Responsive/Qualified Bidder	3 rd Responsive/Qualified Bidder					

X

(ginto

9

Smil

Aross -

EN/

D. Reason Recorded by the Consultant Selection Committee (CSC):

⇒ For Technical Proposal:

- i. In accordance with ITC 14, The Consultant Selection Committee was assigned to evaluate the Technical Proposals based on their responsiveness to the bid evaluation criteria, sub criteria, and point system as specified in the Bid Data Sheet. For Technical Responsiveness or qualification of any proposal, achieving minimum Technical Score (St) 65 points/marks (out of 100) was compulsory. The proposals/firms having Technical Evaluation Score below 65 points shall be considered **Nonresponsive**, not considerable for further evaluation. This criterion is further elaborated in ITC 15.4 i.e. 70% for Technical Proposal.
- ii. Out of 06 prospective Firms, 04 Firms i.e. M/s Micon Engineering Consultant, M/s EMC Pakistan (Pvt). Ltd, M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant and M/s Designmen Consulting Engineers/ M/s Nasir Absar (Pvt). Ltd./ have obtained cumulatively 66.875, 85.25, 78.9 and 72.025 marks (out of 100) respectively and declared 'Responsive Bidders' both Technically as well as Financially.
- iii. The M/s PPI in association with M/s Geo-Bands Works Management has obtained 57.475 marks, hence failed to obtain 65 points and considered Technically Non- responsive/Disqualified.
- iv. The Technical proposal of *M/S NESPAK* was rejected by CSC due to submission of Bid in loose form, i.e. the documents/proposal was submitted unsealed unlike as it is required under the approved RFP for Single Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure.

⇒ For Financial Proposal

- i. The M/s EMC Pakistan (Pvt). Ltd has obtained 89.7 marks hence declared by the CSC the 1st Responsive/Qualified Bidder (Technically as well as Financially)
- ii. The *M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant* has obtained 67.755 hence declared by the CSC the 2nd Responsive/Qualified Bidder (Technically as well as Financially).
- iii. The M/s Designmen Consulting Engineers in association with M/s Nasir Absar (Pvt). Ltd 62.34 hence declared by the CSC the 3rd Responsive/Qualified Bidder (Technically as well as Financially).

iv. The financial proposal of *M/s Micon Engineering Consultants* was filed without 2% bid security hence not considered for financial evaluation and declared by the CSC, financially "Nonresponsive".

Director EPA/Chairman
Consultant Selection Committee

Deputy Director, Mineral Resources / Member Consultant Selection Committee Deputy Director, Wilde Life Fisheries

/Member

Consultant Selection Committee



S

Mr. Syed Ahmed Hassan, Geologist, Rate Analysis Section P&DD/ Member/ Consultant Selection Committee

Mr. Nasir Hameed, Cartographer, Land Use Planning /Member Consultant Selection Committee

Assistant Director Labs/Procurement EPA
Member/Secretary
Consultant Selection Committee

: